



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Yury Petrovich Guscho Art Unit : 2873

Serial No.: 10/540,417 Examiner: Dawayne Pinkney

Filed: March 2, 2006 Conf. No.: 9243

Cust. No.: 77202

Title : Electro-Optical Transducer and Jelly Layer Therefor, Method for Producing a Jelly

Layer and a Compound Carrying Out Said Method

Mail Stop: ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith are a PTOL-85 Part B-Fee Transmittal form; Comments on Reason for Allowance which mailed April 11, 2008, Change of Correspondence Address Application form (PTO/SB122), authorization to charge the Deposit Account for the issue fee, publication fee, and 14 copies of the patent, and a return postcard for filing in connection with the above-identified application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees that may be due in connection with this paper or with this application during its entire pendency to Deposit Account No. 02-1818. A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed.

Stephanie Seidman

Reg. No. 33,779

Respectfully

Attorney Docket No. 119380-00002 / 5202US Address all correspondence to: 77202

Stephanie Seidman
Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLP
3580 Carmel Mountain Road, Suite 200

San Diego, California 92130 Telephone: (858) 509-7410 Facsimile: (858) 509-7460 email: sseidman@bellboyd.com CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL" "Express Mail" Mailing Label Number EM 247736840 US Date of Deposit: July 11, 2008

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Service under 37 CFR §1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA, 22313-1450.

Steven Dennis

Attorney's Docket No.: 119380-00002 / 5002US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Approcant: Yury Petrovich Guscho Art Unit: 2873

Examiner: Dawayne Pinkney

Filed: March 2, 2006 Conf. No.: 9243

Cust. No.: 77202

JUL 11 2008

Title : Electro-Optical Transducer and Jelly Layer Therefor, Method for Producing a Jelly

Layer and a Compound Carrying Out Said Method

Mail Stop: ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Dear Sir:

 \boxtimes

Transmitted herewith are a PTOL-85 Part B-Fee Transmittal form; Comments on Reason for Allowance which mailed April 11, 2008, Change of Correspondence Address Application form (PTO/SB122), authorization to charge the Deposit Account for the issue fee, publication fee, and 14 copies of the patent, and a return postcard for filing in connection with the above-identified application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees that may be due in connection with this paper or with this application during its entire pendency to Deposit Account No. 02-1818. A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed.

Stenhand Seldman

Respectfully submitted

Reg. No. 33,779

Attorney Docket No. 119380-00002 / 5202US Address all correspondence to: 77202

Stephanie Seidman Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLP 3580 Carmel Mountain Road, Suite 200

San Diego, California 92130 Telephone: (858) 509-7410 Facsimile: (858) 509-7460 email: sseidman@bellboyd.com CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL"
"Express Mail" Mailing Label Number EM 247736840 US
Date of Deposit: July 11, 2008

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Service under 37 CFR §1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA, 22313-1450.

Steven Dennis



Attorney's Docket No.: 119380-00002 / 5002US

ITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Yury Petrovich Guscho

Art Unit

2873

Serial No.: 10/540,417

Examiner:

Pinkney, Dawayne

Filed

: December 3, 2003

Confirmation No.:

9243 Notice of Allowance Date: April 11, 2008

Title

: ELECTRO-OPTICAL TRANSDUCER AND JELLY LAYER

THEREFOR, METHOD FOR PRODUCING A JELLY LAYER AND A

COMPOUND FOR CARRYING OUT SAID METHOD

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Notice of Allowability, mailed April 11, 2008, which includes the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance, entry of the following comments into the file history of the above-captioned application is respectfully requested.

> CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL" "Express Mail" Mailing Label Number EM 247736840 US Date of Deposit: July 11, 2008

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Service under 37 CFR §1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, exandria_VA, 22313-1450.

Steven Dennis

Applicant: Yury Petrovich GUSCHO
Attorney's Docket No.: 119380-00002 / 5002US
Serial No.: 10/540,417
Comments on Examiner's Reasons for Allowance

Serial No.: 10/540,417 Filed: March 2, 2006

Applicant requests that the following comments be made of record in the instant application.

1. Independent Claims 1, 21, 24, 29, 34, and 39

Applicant recognizes that in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 1302.14, the Examiner's reasons for allowance need not set forth all of the details why the claims are allowed. In the above-referenced application, Applicant does not concede that the Examiner's stated reasons for allowance of claim 1 and its dependent claims are the only reasons for which the claims are allowable. In addition, each of dependent claims 2-17, 22, 23, 25-28, 30-33, 35-38, 40-43, 45 and 48-52 is allowable on its own merits.

2. The Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance of claims 18 and 19

The Examiner states that claims 18 and 19 recite as a limitation "the ribbon control electrodes are electrically connected to the periodic structure of control teeth, and the ground electrodes are electrically connected to the periodic structure of ground teeth, for each line pixel the teeth together with the electrodes look like two conducting combs isolated from each other, while the combs' teeth are located in parallel to the lengthy light source, and a period of order for the pairs of control teeth and ground teeth λ_{teeth} is calculated from the relation: $\lambda_{\text{teeth}} \ll \text{sqrt}(2) \lambda_{\text{light min}} / \alpha_{\text{div max}}$, wherein $\alpha_{\text{div max}}$ is the greatest divergence of radiation among red, green and blue colors and $\lambda_{\text{light min}}$ is the minimum length of the light wave, while the size of an opaque visualizing diaphragm is determined according to the condition of overlapping of zero orders of all three colors, and the voltage on the control electrodes of the line modulator forms the necessary depth of the relief for each color."

Applicant respectfully submits that this is not correct. Claim 19 recites, in pertinent part:

An electrooptical converter, comprising:

. . .

the optical lighter is arranged in such a way that the radiation from it is directed to the plane-parallel plate, to the transparent electroconducting layer, to the transparent gel-like layer, to the air gap at an angle less than 90 degrees, while the ribbon control electrodes are electrically connected to the periodic structure of control teeth, and the ground electrodes are electrically connected to the periodic structure of ground teeth, wherein for each line pixel the teeth together with the electrodes look like two conducting combs isolated from each other, while the combs' teeth are located in parallel to the lengthy light source and are covered with a continuous thin dielectric mirror reflecting light of the corresponding wavelength, and the period of order for the pairs of control teeth and ground teeth λ_{teeth} is determined according to the relation: $\lambda_{\text{teeth}} \leq \sqrt{2} \lambda_{\text{light min}} / \alpha_{\text{div max}}$, where λ_{light} is a wavelength of the lengthy light source and α_{div} (in radians) is a divergence of the radiation of the light source in a direction perpendicular to the combs' teeth.

Applicant: Yury Petrovich GUSCHO

Filed

Serial No.: 10/540,417 : March 2, 2006

Attorney's Docket No.: 119380-00002 / 5002US Comments on Examiner's Reasons for Allowance

As shown above, claim 19 does not recite "λ_{light min} is the minimum length of the light wave, while the size of an opaque visualizing diaphragm is determined according to the condition of overlapping of zero orders of all three colors, and the voltage on the control electrodes of the line modulator forms the necessary depth of the relief for each color." Hence, the statement by the Examiner in the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance that both claim 18 and claim 19 include as a limitation the recitation " $\lambda_{light min}$ is the minimum length of the light wave, while the size of an opaque visualizing diaphragm is determined according to the condition of overlapping of zero orders of all three colors, and the voltage on the control electrodes of the line modulator forms the necessary depth of the relief for each color" is not an accurate characterization of the subject matter of claim 19.

Further, Applicant recognizes that in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 1302.14, the Examiner's reasons for allowance need not set forth all of the details why the claims are allowed. In the above-referenced application, Applicant does not concede that the Examiner's stated reasons for allowance of claims 18 and 19 and their dependent claims are the only reasons for which the claims are allowable. For example, none of the art of record, singly or in any combination thereof discloses, teaches or suggests an electrooptical converter that includes at least one optical lighter, at least one transparent support, at least one line modulator that includes a transparent electroconducting layer covered with a transparent gel-like layer, at least one visualizer that includes a Fourier-objective and a visualizing diaphragm sequentially located on the optical axis, a perception device and at least one control device. In addition, each of dependent claims 46 and 47 is allowable on its own merits.

* * *

Entry of these remarks into the file history of the above-captioned application

respectfully is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephanie Seidman Reg. No. 33,779

Stephanie Seidman Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLP 3580 Carmel Mountain Road, Suite 200 San Diego, California 92130

Telephone: (858) 509-7410 Facsimile: (858) 509-7460